

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,728		10/19/2001	Gordon Timothy Burstein	43197.240364 2255		
826	7590	09/28/2005		EXAMINER		
	N & BIR	D LLP ICA PLAZA	PHASGE, ARUN S			
		ON STREET, SUITE 40	000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLO	OTTE, NO	C 28280-4000	1753 .			
				DATE MAILED: 00/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/039,728	BURSTEIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Arun S. Phasge	1753		

•	LAGIIIIIGI	Aironic	
	Arun S. Phasge	1753	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH		-	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian-</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, who	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF AFFEAC  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	olionoo with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two ments	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	had a decided the state of City and the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		duaina ar aimealifeina	tha iaawaa faa
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	me issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		des al Claric	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	will not be entered, or b)    wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a Ning a Ning a Ning a State of the affidation of the affidation of the date of filing a Ning and the affidation of the date of filing a Ning and the date of filing and the date of fili	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	(8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	
		Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753	rwg
		ALLAND, 1730	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

WA

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims 4-6 depend from claim 3 which has been canceled.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 12 would still be rejected, since the metal subjected to the method would read upon other metals, treated by other methods..